

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/464,902	12/16/1999	WILLIAM C. OLSON	57906-AJPW/S	8227	
7590 06/15/2005			EXAMINER		
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			LE, EMILY M		
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1648	1648	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/464,902	OLSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Emily Le	1648	

	Emily Le	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
	-11	5 1. 1 20 2 4 4 4				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS .						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will w	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>87-88, 91-95, 98-100 and 102-109</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
Chiefy Se		Jeffrey S. Parkin, P Primary Examiner,				
$\alpha u u = 1$		y waamiinoi,				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant is reminded that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Additionally, further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request continued examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR 1.114 with a submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e). MPEP 714.13.

While it is noted that the claims have been amended to generically adopt suggestion(s) provided by the Office in the previous office action, however, due to the extensiveness of the generic language that is present, the proposed claims raise new issues that would require further consideration, such as a written description requirement. A written description rejection would be necessary for new matter introduced into the claims. Such rejection would be required because the claims as presented encompass more than the amino acid sequence representing other CDR regions as the 2nd polypeptide. In the instant, the proposed claims encompass polypeptides that are not taught in the specification.

Additionally, as presented, the claims do not necessarily require that all 6 CDR regions be present to maintain antigen binding specificity and affinity; whereas, it was indicated by the Office that all 6 CDRs must be present to maintain antigen binding specificity and affinity. Ergo, the proposed amendment is not sufficient to overcome the scope of enablement rejection set forth in the previous office action.